

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/779,049 02/07/2001 Mark Phillips S1022/8617 5549 23628 7590 06/03/2004 **EXAMINER** WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC MCCARTHY, CHRISTOPHER S FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210-2211 2113 DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

•	Application No.	Amuliaamiia	$-\mathcal{M}$
		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summany	09/779,049	PHILLIPS, MARK	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher S. McCarthy	2113	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperent of the Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	ş
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this commun ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ap	oril 2004.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		ers, prosecution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 7-9</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 5</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		http://www.da.com	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 February 2001</u> is/are	•	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,	4047-15
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	,	•	` '
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action of form PTO-15)2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received		
Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents		polication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	•	· ———	۵
application from the International Bureau	•	received in this Hational Stage	C
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received	
Attach mart/a)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	Immery (PTO 442)	
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	o) [△] Other: <u>Resp</u>	onse to arguments.	
	ion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail	Date 9

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hall et al. U.S. Patent 5,175,828, as cited in prior office action, which was mailed on 12/19/2003.
- 2. Claims 2-4, 7-9, are allowable as cited, in prior office action, which was mailed on 12/19/2003.
- 3. Claim 6 is allowable with respect to the dependency upon claim 2, but objected to with respect to the dependency upon claim 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hall et al. U.S. Patent 5,175,828.

As per claim 1, Hall discloses a method of operating a target computer system, wherein said target computer system has a memory comprising plural addressable locations and is adapted to run an application, the method comprising of providing on a host computer a file, comprising a subroutine required for operation of said application; dynamically loading said file from said host computer to said memory of said target computer system, whereby said file has an entry point has an entry point at a dynamically-determined addressable location (column 3, lines

Art Unit: 2113

45-68); storing at a predetermined one of said addressable locations data representative of the address of said entry point (column 6, lines 19-26); running said application, whereby said application determines said data representative of said address thereby accessing said subroutine; and running said subroutine (column 6, lines 58-61).

As per claim 5, Hall discloses a device for operating an embedded digital signal processor said embedded signal processor having a memory comprising plural addressable locations, and being adapted to run an application, the device comprising a host computer connected to said embedded digital signal processor, said host computer comprising a computer file including a computer file including a subroutine required for said application (column 3, lines 61-67); said host computer comprising a linker-loader connected to said link and operative to send file and dynamically load said file to said memory of said embedded signal processor whereby said file has an entry point at one of said addressable locations, said loader-linker comprising means for storing at a predetermined one of said addressable locations data representative of the address of said entry point (column 3, lines 45-67); said embedded digital signal processor comprising processor circuitry running said application whereby said application determines said data representative of said address, thereby accessing said file to enable said application to run (column 6, lines 19-26).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/779,049 Page 4

Art Unit: 2113

6. Claims 2-4, 7-9 are allowable.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 4/19/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As per claims 1 and 5, the applicant argues that Hall does not teach wherein the location of the address of the new subprogram in RAM is predetermined. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The examiner considers the predetermined state of the address of the subroutine as inherent. Hall teaches the subprogram "_jump_selectMeas (in application)" is placed into the RAM at an address and this address is called by other existing procedures in the program (column 6, lines 29-36). The procedure calls to this new subroutine location address must be known by the calling procedures and, therefore, it is inherent that the address of the subroutine is predetermined as to allow the correct entry point calls to the subroutine. Therefore, all applicable rejections stand.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/779,049

Art Unit: 2113

Page 5

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. McCarthy whose telephone number is (703)305-7599. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (703)305-9713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

csm

May 28, 2004

SCOTT BADERMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER